

REPUBLIC OF SOUTH-AFRICA

HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA GAUTENG DIVISION PRETORIA

NOTICE REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGE PRESIDENT'S DIRECTIVES FOR THE UNOPPOSED MOTION COURT BEFORE

ACTING JUDGE RANGATA

WEDNESDAY 9 OCTOBER 2024

Secretary: Anna – Marie Nieuwoudt

Room 1.9

Tel.: 083 414 5652

Email: AnNieuwoudt@judiciary.org.za

- 1. The proceedings will be heard in Open Court commencing at 10:00am.
- 2. A complete Practice Note, stating the nature of the application for the date on which the application is on the roll, should be filed on Caselines setting out at least the following:
 - 2.1 Was the matter previously postponed? If so, what was the reason for the postponement?
 - 2.2 If the papers consist of more than just the application concerned, (for instance, previous applications or pleadings not relevant to the application) the application must be properly identified by reference to the section and page number to avoid a search for it;
 - 2.3 The return of service and set down relevant to the date of hearing must be identified by reference to the section and page number;
 - 2.4 If personal service is necessary, (for instance in sequestration or rule 46 A applications) it must be stated and if this requirement was not complied with, reasons must be provided;
 - 2.5 Where certain practice or legal requirements have to be complied with (for instance in Rule 46A applications) compliance with all those requirements must be clearly stated and then also identified

- in the papers by reference to the section, page number(s) and paragraph;
- 2.6 If there is any problem with the application, it must be clearly identified and disclosed. If there is no problem, it must be submitted that the papers are in order;
- 2.7 Name and contact details of counsel and the attorney (tel. no. and email address) must be stated.
- 3. If there is any other information to be conveyed, it must also be stated in the practice note.
- 4. The filing of heads of argument will not replace the duty to file a practice note in terms of this Directive.
- 5. In all applications where heads of argument must be filed, a failure to do so may also result in the application being struck from the roll.
- 6. The <u>Practice Note, Draft order</u> (indicating the date & particulars of the Judge) and/or heads of argument (if applicable) must be filed on case lines by no later than 08h00 on the last court day before the hearing. Thereafter the matters will be frozen on CaseLines.
- 7. Please hand two (2) copies of draft order up in court on day of hearing.
- 8. It is the responsibility of the applicant's attorney to ensure that the matter is TIMEOUSLY, PROPERLY AND FULLY uploaded onto Caselines and that the Judge and his clerk will have access to the matter at the latest at 8:00 on the day before the date of hearing to enable the Judge to read the papers.

ACTING JUDGE RANGATA

JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT

PRETORIA

UNOPPOSED MOTIONS

09 OCTOBER 2024 BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE RANGATA AJ

1.	B/C OF DSL		VS	MOFOMME PROPERTIES	012041/22
2.	B/C OF MALET		VS	GUTENBURG CONSULTING	087492/23
3.	VALLEY VIEW ESTATE		VS	M N MAKGOPA	044477/24
4.	B/C OF EVELEIGH		VS	K K PENDYALA+1	045836/24
5.	EX PARTE: D MAAS				082299/23
6.	F NAIDOO		VS	RAF	082479/24
7.	NEDBANK LTD		VS	D V NXUMALO	123443/23
8.	NEWNET PROPERTIES		VS	RAF	051422/23
9.	NEWNET PROPERTIES		VS	RAF	045037/23
10.	FNB BANK LTD		VS	T MONYELE+1	025021/24
11.	A J VAN TONDER		VS	R ENGELBRECHT+2	055468/22
12.	MEERLUST VILLAS HOME	ASS	VS	D J VAN STADEN	61297/20
13.	STD BANK LTD	VS	OLIVIE	R&SMITH STAALWERKE+1	001479/24
14.	М МОКАТА		VS	MIN OF HEALTH+1	43409/19
15.	J MPHATSOE		VS	RAF	1280/19

16. E D SOVIYA	VS	RAF	38652/20
17. M MOLELEKI+1	VS	MEC OF HEALTH, GAUTENG	29050/21
18. WESBANK LTD	VS	TMASHKEN TRADING	368/22
19. P E MABUZA	VS	RAF	62455/18
20. TOYOTA FINANCIAL	VS	M M LETSOALO	27000/21
21. B CHABALALA	VS	RAF	38663/20
22. L S TLALA	VS	RAF	21790/17
23. ABSA BANK LTD	VS	R R SIPHUMA	091577/23
24. E VENTER	VS	RAF	532/22
25. K S LEBESE	VS	DG HOME AFFAIRS	232/19
26. STD BANK LTD	VS	THE CLAGARY INVESTENT	62235/19
27. CHANGING TIDES	VS	P MHLANGA	062697/24
28. NEDBANK LTD	VS	J N MALEFO	053261/24
29. B LOK	VS	DEVIN CABINET DOORS CC	046741/22

BY THE TIME THIS ROLL WAS FINALISED THE UNDERMENTIONED COURT ONLINE MATTERS WERE NOT DISPLAYING DOCUMENTS ON CASELINE

30. SB GUARANTEE	VS	L P KRUYSHAAR	110920/23
31. STD BANK LTD	VS	J V BUCHNER	022093/23
32. EVALUATIONS ENHANCED	VS	MIN OF AGRICULTURE	018012/24